

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/769,699	02/02/2004	Edgar R. Zuniga-Ortiz	33535.1	8605
23494	1590 11/03/2006		EXAM	INER
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			PHAM, HOA! V	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,	. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2814	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/769,699	ZUNIGA-ORTIZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hoai v. Pham	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	August 2006.				
	his action is non-final.				
•					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 27-32 is/are pending in the applicant 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 27-32 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2004 is/  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 2. indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 31, the limitation "aligning said added metallization and said board pads so that each of said contact pads is connected to a corresponding board terminal pad" renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear where "said added metallization", "said board pads", and "board terminal pad" come from.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that 3. form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 27-28 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being 4. anticipated by Yamazaki et al. [U.S. Pat. 6,709,901] previously applied.

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 31, as best understood, Yamazaki et al. discloses (fig. 10B, cols. 10-11) a method for fabricating a semiconductor assembly comprising the step of:

providing a semiconductor chip (220) having a planar active surface including an integrated circuit, said integrated circuit having metallization patterns including a plurality of contact pads (221) at said planar active surface,

providing a protective overcoat (222) over said planar active surface, said protective overcoat including windows exposing said plurality of contact pads, said windows having sidewalls;

providing an added conductive region (230) having at least one conductive layer (230) on said metallization pattern, wherein said added conductive layer (230) covering and comformal to each of said contact pads, said sidewalls of said windows and a portion of said protective overcoat surrounding said windows, **a portion of** said added conductive layer (230) having a planar outer surface, wherein said outer surface of said added conductive layer suitable to form metallurgical bonds without melting;

providing an assembly board (224) having a plurality of planar, metallurgically bondable terminal pads (225) in a distribution aligned with the distribution of said contact pads (221);

aligning said semiconductor chip (220) and assembly board (224) so that each of said contact pads (221) is connected to said bondable terminal pads (225); and metallurgically bonding said semiconductor chip (220) and said assembly board (224) without melting said outer surface of said added conductive layer (225) (fig. 10b).

Application/Control Number: 10/769,699 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claims 27 and 28, Yamazaki et al. discloses that wherein said step of depositing said at least one added conductive region (230) by electroless plating (col. 11, lines 8-9). It is noted that, as interpreting the claim in a broad scope, the at least one conductive layer can also be the same as a second of at least one added conductive layer because the claim does not recite the different material between the at least one conductive layer and the second of at least one added conductive layer.

With respect to claim 30, Yamazaki et al. (fig. 10C) discloses that wherein said step of fabricating a planar outer surface of said added conductive layer comprises the step of depositing a second of at least one added conductive layer by using the method of support by islands (222) of protective overcoat. It is noted that, as interpreting the claim in a broad scope, the at least one conductive layer can also be the same as a second of at least one added conductive layer because the claim does not recite the different material between the at least one conductive layer and the second of at least one added conductive layer.

With respect to claim 32, Yamazaki et al. discloses that where in said bonding comprises direct welding by metallic interdiffusion (col. 11, lines 5-15).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. [U.S. Pat. 6,709,901] previously applied, in view of Akram et al. [U.S. Pat. 6,617,687] previously applied.

Yamazaki et al. substantially discloses all the limitations as claimed above.

Yamazaki et al. also discloses the step of depositing said at least one added conductive layer (230) by electroless plating. Yamazaki et al. does not explitcitly teach the step of depositing a second of at least one added conductive layer (230) by screen printing. It is noted that, as interpreting the claim in a broad scope, the at least one conductive layer can also be the same as a second of at least one added conductive layer because the claim does not recite the different material between the at least one conductive layer and the second of at least one added conductive layer. However, Akram et al. discloses electroless plating, screen printing ..et. are known technique to depositing the

Application/Control Number: 10/769,699 Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

conductive layer (66) (col. 11, lines 17-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the known technique such as screen printing as taught by, Akram et al. into the process of Yamazaki et al. to form conductive layer.

#### Conclusion

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoai v. Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1715. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HOAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER